Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	n,	10/803,898	BROAD ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Dean O. Takaoka	2817
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Dean O. Takaoka</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>David J. Cushing</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 March 2005		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 103(a)			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: Tyrrell, Marie			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	\pplicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/803,898

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With respect to Applicant's amendment dated January 27, 2005, it was the position of the Examiner that the claims, and in particular claim 1, remained obvious in view of Tyrrell. Tyrrell shows plural apertures (12 or 13), each comprising an inner and outer edges facing the center of ins plate 5 comprising inwardly curved protrusions. It was agreed to further define claim 1 where the aperture comprises plural curved protrusions of hemicycle shape extending into the aperture, such as shown in Fig. 1 by the Applicant, where Tyrrell would only comprise one hemicycle edge extending into the aperture per aperture, thus placing the claims in condition for allowance..